


RECLAIM Ohio and Subsidy Grant Statistics


RECLAIM Ohio and the Youth Services Grant together compose the DYS Subsidy Grant to Ohio's juvenile courts. Data on youth admitted to DYS Subsidy Grant-funded programs is self-reported by the juvenile courts.

Youth Adjudicated for Felony Offenses, FY 2006 – FY 2015

The number of youth adjudicated for felony offenses has exhibited a downward trend. In this report, each youth is counted once per year per adjudicating county, regardless of the number of adjudications or DYS felony commitments. The graph below shows a 49.7% decrease in adjudications over a ten-year period. In addition to reductions in numbers of adjudications, recent years show a decline in the rate of adjudicated youth committed to DYS. Commitment rates have decreased over the past six years, with the FY 2015 commitment rate of 8.9% representing a ten-year low, continuing a downward trend since 2010. When combined, these trends result in a sustained drop in the number of youth committed to DYS.



**Revoked youth are not included in commitment totals.*




Subsidy Grant Youth Admissions

Based on data reported from the juvenile courts, there were over 88,000 admissions to Subsidy Grant (RECLAIM Ohio and Youth Services Grant) programming during FY 2015. This compares to only 408 felony commitments to DYS and 425 admissions to community corrections facilities. Please note that Subsidy Grant admissions do not reflect all youth served in programs by the counties. Youth are also served through programs funded by counties and other sources.


Minority Youth Admission Rates

As shown in the graph below, just over one-third of Subsidy Grant program admissions were minority youth during FY 2015. By contrast, CCF admissions were over 42% and DYS felony admissions were over two-thirds minority youth.




Female Youth Admission Rates

Female youth make up a significant portion of the youth served in community Subsidy Grant funded programs. During FY 2015, females represented over 35% of Subsidy Grant program admissions, as compared to CCF and DYS felony admissions, which were both under 10%.



Subsidy Grant Youth Offense Levels

In FY 2015, 54% of the youth admitted to Subsidy Grant programs were delinquent offenders; 17% were felony offenders and therefore eligible for commitment to DYS or a CCF. On the other end of the spectrum, 36% of the youth were non-offenders.




Subsidy Grant Program Admissions by Program Type, FY 2015

Juvenile courts utilize Subsidy Grant funds to develop or purchase programming to best meet the needs of the youth in their communities. The table below illustrates the variety of programs that are being used at the local level to assist in diverting youth from DYS institutions.

Program Type	Admissions	%
Drug Testing	19,167	21.75%
Monitoring/Surveillance	12,521	14.21%
Truancy	9,254	10.50%
Prevention	7,340	8.33%
Work Detail	6,687	7.59%
Probation	4,650	5.28%
Diversion	4,104	4.66%
Law Enforcement	4,038	4.58%
Clinical Assessments	3,185	3.61%
Wraparound Services	1,691	1.92%
Shelter Care	1,407	1.60%
Restitution/Community Service	1,355	1.54%
Conflict Mediation	1,023	1.16%
Secure Detention Services	1,016	1.15%
Life Skills	943	1.07%
Transportation	908	1.03%
Recreation	906	1.03%
Educational Services	903	1.02%
Mental Health/Counseling Services	878	1.00%
Alternative Schools	860	0.98%
Substance Abuse Awareness	797	0.90%
Youth Intervention Groups	724	0.82%
Volunteers	657	0.75%
Substance Abuse	575	0.65%
Residential Treatment	541	0.61%
Parental Support/Guidance	437	0.50%
Family Preservation/Home Based Services	429	0.49%
Information and Awareness	279	0.32%
Traffic Offender	216	0.25%
Sex Offender	195	0.22%
Day Treatment	134	0.15%
Advocacy	118	0.13%
Cognitive Behavioral Treatment	60	0.07%
Mentors	49	0.06%
Alternatives to Detention for Status Offenders	48	0.05%
Aftercare or Parole	43	0.05%
Total	88,138	100.00%


Subsidy Grant Program Direct Expenditures by Program Type

The chart below shows the breakdown of RECLAIM Ohio and Youth Services Grant funds spent by courts on direct services during FY 2015.



Subsidy Grant Program Successful Completion Rates

Youth referred to Subsidy Grant programs have a high rate of successful program completion. Among all FY 2015 program terminations self-reported by the courts, 83% were the result of successful program completion. When youth who were released for neutral reasons (moved out of county, turned 18, etc.) are removed, the successful completion rate of Subsidy Grant programs is 93%.



Recidivism Rates

In September 2009, Ohio implemented the Ohio Youth Assessment System (OYAS), a statewide youth assessment tool which provides a common measure of recidivism risk. In an evaluation comparing FY 2011 releases from RECLAIM Ohio programs, community corrections facilities and DYS facilities, results showed that youth completing RECLAIM programs across all risk levels had a much lower rate of recidivism, defined in the study as a new felony adjudication as a juvenile/adult or placement in a DYS or DRC facility within a 19-28 month period following release.* (*see "Evaluation of Ohio's RECLAIM Programs"; University of Cincinnati, 2014.)

